## PATENT COUPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То	:			PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(F	PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
1	rnational application		International filing date (d	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/GB2005/001076			22.03.2005	24.03.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A44B19/42							
Applicant SUPREME PLASTICS HOLDINGS LIMITED							
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	🛛 Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international appli							
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON		•			
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.				
3.							
Name	and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Westermayer, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8172



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001076

-								
_	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	. Withe	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		iaii	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	Wit ned	th re	regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. t	a. type of material:						
			a sequence listing					
			table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:							
			in written format					
	i		in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:							
	ĺ		contained in the international application as filed.					
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	[		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		cop	iddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Add	Additional comments:						

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-6, 8, 10

No: Claims

7, 9, 11, 12

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-6

No: Claims

7-12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

11, 12

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

MP9 Rec'd PC7/PTO 22 SEP 2000 International ap

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001076

Reference is made to the following document: 1. (D1) EP-A-0 505 055.

- The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art (cf. column 4, lines 46-56 2. and figure 1); D1 does not disclose that the probe is withdrawn before applying pressure as stated in claim 1.
- However, as in claim 7 the probe in its second position is not clear of the engaged 3. profile lengths, D1 discloses all the features of claim 7. Hence, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 7 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 4. Dependent claims 8-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and inventive step.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 refer to a drawing (Rule 6.2(a) PCT).
- The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) 6. PCT.
- The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in 7. parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 8. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.